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SUMMARY

Pressure to succeed in school education is intense in East Asian countries, and appears to be increasing as society becomes more competitive. Although competitive stress can be a positive stimulus for achievement for young people, if this stress is severe and prolonged it can have a major impact on health and well-being. The negative effects include depression, anxiety, behavioural problems and youth suicide. Although the impact of educational pressure on young people and families is discussed widely in the media and society, much of this is based on case studies and anecdotes, rather than systematic research. This paper describes the rationale, research design and procedures and the development and initial validation of a new instrument to measure academic stress among young people in Asia. A recent survey of 2000 adolescents in Shandong province, China, is described and technical details of a new research tool - the Educational Stress Scale for Adolescents (ESSA) are provided. A proposal for a study in north, central and southern Vietnam is then discussed. Hopefully, this work will contribute solid evidence to inform public debate and practical action to address this substantial mental health problem.

1. Introduction

Academic learning is among the most important sources of stress faced by young people worldwide. Asian students in particular often have high academic burden, low satisfaction regarding their academic performance and strong external pressure to study, and may suffer more academic stress than their counterparts in English speaking countries.

Throughout East Asia there is growing recognition of academic burden and the impact on health and well-being of students. Sometimes the issue becomes highly prominent in the media in response to tragic events such as youth suicide around exam times. There is also attention being paid at the national and regional government levels.
that lead to a large-scale analysis of these problems. For example, a Chinese national survey conducted with 5040 adolescents and 6552 parents by the All-China Women’s Federation (2008) reported that nearly half (49.1%) of the students in secondary schools spend at least 2 hours per day for homework assigned by their teachers. Another national survey found that most children and adolescents (66.7%) considered academic pressure as the biggest stress in their lives. Pressure from transitional examinations and study workload are associated with poor mental health among Chinese adolescents. High academic pressure may also lead to physical violence and developmental problems.

An early study in Vietnam revealed that more than 50% of adolescents experience personal pressure to achieve good grades, have high expectations from parents, and worry about securing employment after graduation. Fear of failure to meet family expectations and the burden of preparations for tests cause significant stress. Recently, the second national Survey Assessment of Vietnamese Youth (SAVY-2) conducted in 2009 found that pressure from parents regarding academic achievement and study habits was quite common, and adolescents who reported academic pressure were between 40% to 60% more likely than other young people to have strong feelings of sadness.

Although these recent studies stimulate discussion of the problem, to date most research in East Asia countries has not examined the complex phenomenon of educational stress in much detail. The existing surveys have included only a few indicative questions. There is a need for in-depth research into the multidimensional nature of academic stress and pressure, and this should include study of relationship with mental health. To do this well, it is necessary to ensure that research projects are systematic and utilise culturally appropriate research instruments. Here, we describe the development and testing of a new research tool and describe the procedures we have and will apply in school-based research in China and Vietnam.

2. Measurement of Academic Stress

A number of self-report instruments have been developed to assess academic stress among youth. These include the Academic Stress Questionnaire (ASQ, Abouserie, 1994), Student Stress Inventory (SSI, Zeidner, 1992), Academic Stress Scale (ASS, Kohn & Frazer, 1986), Lakaev Academic Stress Response Scale (LASRS, Lakaev, 2009), Student-life Stress Inventory (SSI, Gadzella, 2001), High School Stressor Scale (HSSS, Burnett & Fanshawe, 1997), Academic Expectation Stress Inventory (AESI-9, Ang & Huan, 2006a), and Survey of Academic Stress (SAS, Bjorkman, 2007). Most of these scales were designed to measure academic stress among college or university students and only three have been used in surveys with secondary school students. All but the AESI-9 (Ang & Huan, 2006a, 2006b) were developed and validated in western countries.
The AESI-9 was developed with Singapore students to measure the level of stress arising from academic expectations of both the students and significant others. Its psychometric profile has been well established and cross-cultural validity has also been tested with both Chinese and Hispanic students (Ang & Huan, 2006a, 2006b). Nevertheless, one limitation of this scale is its exclusive focus on academic expectations and it does not capture other dimensions of academic stress.

Based on extensive review of both English and Chinese literature, no instrument has been developed and validated with secondary school students in East Asia. This could partly explain the relative paucity of research into academic stress in this region. In this paper, we summarise work recently completed in Shandong, China to develop a multidimensional tool, including the assessment of reliability and validity. The paper concludes with an outline of a plan to study links between academic stress and mental health in Vietnam in schools in 2010.

**PART A: Research in schools in Shandong, China**

**Methods**

This study included three cross-sectional questionnaire surveys with convenience samples of students (grades 7-12) from six secondary schools in three sites (the capital city, one county city and one rural town) in Shandong Province. These surveys were conducted in September and October 2009. The objective of the first survey was to explore the factor structure, internal consistency, concurrent validity and predictive validity of the draft *Educational Stress Scale for Adolescents* (ESSA). The second survey was to assess the test-retest reliability. The third survey was to evaluate the robustness of the factor structure established in the first survey.

**Participants**

*Scale development sample.* The first sample contained 364 grade 8 and 11 students. Data analysis was conducted with 347 students with a response rate of 95.3%. Of them, 44.8% were female and all were from the Han Chinese ethnic group. The age of the sample ranged from 12 to 18 (*Mean*=15.37, *SD*=1.69). Students from urban and rural families accounted for 43.2% and 56.8% of the sample, respectively.

*Test-retest reliability sample.* Two weeks later, a subset of the first sample (two classes, *N*=148) participated in a second survey with the same questionnaires. Data analysis on test-retest reliability was done with 135 (91.2%) respondents. The demographic characters were similar to the first sample.

*Main survey sample.* A total of 1740 eligible participants (grades 7 through 12) from 36 classes were invited to participate in the third survey. Complete data were obtained from 1670 (95.8%) students and were included into analysis. Of them, 44.6% were female and almost all (99.3%, 1659/1670) were ethnically Han Chinese. The age
of the respondents ranged from 11 to 20 (Mean=15.44, SD=1.85). Urban and rural students accounted for 42.2% and 57.8% of the sample, respectively.

**Measures**

**Educational stress.** The first draft of the ESSA was constructed with 30 items based on review of the literature to capture multiple dimensions of stress related to academic activities. Seven items were adapted from the AESI (Ang & Huan, 2006a). Participants were asked to rate each statement on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (Strongly disagree) to 5 (Strongly agree) with higher scores indicating greater stress. The initial scale was used in the first two surveys. The refined version containing 16 items was used in the third survey.

**Academic expectation stress.** The translated AESI-9 was used. This 9-item scale has two subscales, Expectations of Parents/Teachers (five items) and Expectations of Self (four items). Respondents rated each statement on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (never true) to 5 (almost always true). It has good internal consistency (Cronbach’s α = .89 for the total scale, .84-.85 for two factors) in the study by Ang & Huan (2006a). In the present study, the translated AESI-9 also showed good internal reliability in both the first (Cronbach’s α = .85, N=340) and the third survey (Cronbach’s α = .86, N=1642).

**Depressive symptoms.** The Chinese version of Centre for Epidemiological Studies – Depression Scale (CCES-D, Radloff, 1977; Liu, 1999) is a 20-item self-report instrument for depressive symptoms. It was found to have good internal reliability in both the first (Cronbach’s α = .87, N=325) and third sample (Cronbach’s α = .88, N=1598) in this study.

**Suicidal thoughts.** Suicidal thoughts were measured using one question “In the past 12 months, have you ever seriously considered attempting suicide?”, adopted from the youth risk behaviour survey (YRBS) questionnaire (Eaton et al., 2008).

**Academic grades.** Participants were asked to rank their average grades during the past 12 months into one of the five categories: Very poor, Poor, Middle, Good, and Very good. In data analysis, the first two and last two groups were combined as Very poor/Poor and Good / Very good, respectively, resulting in three categories of achievement.

**Procedure**

All surveys were conducted in schools during self-study sessions. A brief introduction was given by the investigator (Jiandong Sun) and followed by the distribution of consent forms and information sheets. The survey questionnaire was then administered to the students who signed consent forms. On average, it took 30 minutes for the students to complete the questionnaire. For the test-retest survey, a
technique reported by Brener and colleagues (1995; 2002) was followed to assure anonymity and obtain matching data from participants.

**Ethics Approval**

Participation was entirely voluntary and anonymous. This project obtained ethics approval from the QUT Human Research Ethics Committee and the Preventive Medicine Ethics Committee of Shandong CDC. Before the data collection, written approval was given by the principal/vice principal of each participating school and consent was gained from each student.

**Results: Shandong Study**

Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) yielded seven factors that cumulatively accounted for 59.4% of the total variance. However, six items had a poor loading (<.5) on all factors and eight had crossed loadings (loading ≥.5 in one factor but ≥.4 in one or more of other factors). These items were removed from further analyses. Another EFA was then conducted for the revised 16-item ESSA scale with the same data. Five factors were identified and labelled as Pressure from study, Worry about grades, Despondency, Self-expectation, and Workload (see Table 1). These latent variables explained 26.6%, 14.4%, 8.2%, 7.6%, and 6.7% of variance respectively, and together 63.6% of the total variance. There are 3 or 4 items in each factor (see Table 1).

**Reliability**

*Inter-item consistency:* Based on the data from the first survey ($N = 347$), the Cronbach's alpha for the total 16-item ESSA scale was .81 indicating good internal consistency. The coefficients were .74, .71, .66, .66, and .75 for the five factors respectively, all suggesting acceptable to good levels of reliability.

*Test-retest reliability:* Using the data from the second sample ($N = 135$), the ICC for the total ESSA score was .78, and for the five factors was .75, .61, .70, .59, and .62, respectively, all suggesting good test-retest reliability. The ICC for each of the 16 items varied from .44 to .67 suggesting moderate to good reliability over two weeks.

**Concurrent and Predictive Validity**

In the first sample ($N = 347$), the total score and three factors of the ESSA were significantly correlated with AESI scores (Table 2). The overall ESSA score was negatively correlated with academic grades (Spearman $r = -.20, p < .001$), indicating that students with low academic achievements have more stress. However, only two of the five factors (Despondency and Workload) showed significant correlations with self-reported academic grades (Table 2).

If the ESSA is to be useful for research it should be found that the scores correlate significantly with youth mental health problems. In this study, the total
academic stress score and all factors were positively correlated with Depression scores (Table 2). Among students who had considered committing suicide ($N=68$), the mean ESSA score ($M = 57.24, SD = 9.15$) was significantly higher than the score among those who had not ($N = 266, M = 53.35, SD = 9.21$), $t(332) = 3.11, p = .002, d = 0.42$. This association showed small to medium effect size.

**Table 1. Factor Pattern and Structure Coefficients, Communalities, and Means (SDs) for the ESSA in the First Survey ($N=347$)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor and item</th>
<th>PC</th>
<th>SC</th>
<th>$h^2$</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Factor 1: Pressure from study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is too much competition among classmates which brings me a lot of academic pressure.</td>
<td>.80</td>
<td>.79</td>
<td>.67</td>
<td>3.53 (1.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I feel a lot of pressure in my daily studying.</td>
<td>.79</td>
<td>.81</td>
<td>.66</td>
<td>3.35 (1.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future education and employment bring me a lot of academic pressure.</td>
<td>.74</td>
<td>.78</td>
<td>.63</td>
<td>3.83 (1.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My parents care about my academic grades too much which brings me a lot of pressure.</td>
<td>.56</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>.48</td>
<td>3.28 (1.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor 2: Worry about grades</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I feel that I have disappointed my teacher when my test/exam results are not ideal.</td>
<td>.93</td>
<td>.87</td>
<td>.80</td>
<td>3.63 (1.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I feel that I have disappointed my parents when my test/exam results are poor.</td>
<td>.72</td>
<td>.80</td>
<td>.69</td>
<td>4.17 (.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic grade is very important to my future and even can determine my whole life.</td>
<td>.64</td>
<td>.71</td>
<td>.58</td>
<td>3.6 (1.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor 3: Despondency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am very dissatisfied with my academic grades.</td>
<td>.82</td>
<td>.79</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>3.48 (1.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I always lack confidence with my academic scores.</td>
<td>.73</td>
<td>.78</td>
<td>.62</td>
<td>2.67 (1.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is very difficult for me to concentrate during classes.</td>
<td>.58</td>
<td>.67</td>
<td>.53</td>
<td>3.17 (1.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor 4: Self expectation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I feel stressed when I do not live up to my own standards.</td>
<td>.82</td>
<td>.82</td>
<td>.70</td>
<td>3.44 (1.12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
When I fail to live up to my own expectations, I feel I am not good enough.  

I usually cannot sleep and worry when I cannot meet the goals I set for myself.  

Factor 5: Workload  

I feel there is too much homework.  

I feel that there is too much school work.  

I feel that there are too many tests/exams in the school.  

ESSA  

Note. ESSA = Educational Stress Scale for Adolescents; PC = Pattern coefficient; SC = Structure coefficient; \( h^2 \) = communalities; \( SD \) = Standard deviation  

Table 2. Correlations between Scores from ESSA, AESI, CES-D and Academic Grades in the First Survey (N=347)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. ESSA total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Pressure from study</td>
<td>.81**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Worry about grades</td>
<td>.57**</td>
<td>.34**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Despondency</td>
<td>.67**</td>
<td>.44**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Self-expectation</td>
<td>.55**</td>
<td>.27**</td>
<td>.35**</td>
<td>.19**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Workload</td>
<td>.58**</td>
<td>.39**</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.33**</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. AESI total</td>
<td>.51**</td>
<td>.29**</td>
<td>.52**</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.83**</td>
<td>-.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. AESI-Other expectations</td>
<td>.41**</td>
<td>.26**</td>
<td>.53**</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.58**</td>
<td>-.06</td>
<td>.92**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. AESI-Self expectations</td>
<td>.52**</td>
<td>.26**</td>
<td>.39**</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.96**</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>.88**</td>
<td>.62**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. CES-D (Depression)</td>
<td>.47**</td>
<td>.38**</td>
<td>.15**</td>
<td>.44**</td>
<td>.24**</td>
<td>.25**</td>
<td>.19**</td>
<td>.13**</td>
<td>.22**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Academic grades</td>
<td>-.20**</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.43**</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>-.13</td>
<td>.16**</td>
<td>.21**</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.17**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note. ESSA = Academic Stress Scale for Adolescents; AESI = Academic Expectation Stress Inventory; CES-D = Centre for Epidemiological Studies – Depression Scale

*Spearman r (others are Pearson r)

*p < .05; **p < .01

Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA)

A CFA was conducted using the data from the third sample (N = 1670). According to the results of the traditional chi-square fit index ($\chi^2 (94, N = 1670) = 604.59, p < .001$), the observed model was significantly different from the expected model. However, this may be related to the large sample size. All other indices, including the CFI (.93), NFI (.92), IFI (.93), GFI (.96), RMSEA (.06) and standardised RMR (.05) suggested a good fit to the original factor structure.

Discussion: Shandong study

This new instrument for measuring academic stress contains can be used to measure the multidimensional nature of educational stress, including Pressure from study, Worry about grades, Despondency, Self-expectation, and Workload. This scale exhibits good psychometric properties in terms of internal and test-retest reliability and concurrent and predictive validity.

Academic stress is recognised as a risk factor for depression and suicidal behaviour (Ang & Huan, 2006b; Bjorkman 2007). In this study, the ESSA scores show significant associations with these problems. Compared to the AESI, the ESSA score is more predictive of depression and suicidality (Table 2). This is probably because the ESSA captures more elements of academic stress than the AESI. For the purpose to identify students at risk in mental disorders, the ESSA may serve as a useful tool.

This study has some limitations. First, information was collected solely relying upon self-report of students and hence some recall bias cannot be avoided. Second, despite the identification of five factors, the ESSA might not capture all facets of academic stress. In addition, the ESSA was only tested with Chinese adolescents in Shandong and cross-cultural suitability is yet to be established. Nevertheless, this newly developed scale demonstrates good psychometric properties and is suitable to be used in further research into academic-related stress among secondary school adolescents. The ESSA promises to be a useful tool with East Asian populations and possibly in other social and cultural contexts.
PART B:

A proposed study of Educational Stress and Mental Health in Viet Nam.

As mentioned in the introduction, there has been little research published from Vietnam regarding academic stress and youth mental health. This research group is proposing to do school-based surveys in several locations in different regions in Vietnam, including the south, centre and north. It is planned to commence the research in Ho Chi Minh City in 2010, coordinated by the Epidemiology and Biostatistics Departments of the Faculty of Public Health, HCMC University of Medicine and Pharmacy. In the remainder of this paper we outline the main questions, survey design and measures and details of the research. We invite comments and suggestions from participants in this conference.

Research questions

1. What is the prevalence of educational stress among Vietnamese students in secondary school and high school in Ho Chi Minh City?

2. What is the prevalence of mental health problems including depression, anxiety, psychological distress and well-being among Vietnamese students in secondary school and high school in Ho Chi Minh City?

3. What are the major risk factors associated with educational stress and mental health among these students?

4. To what extent does educational stress influence depression and anxiety, while statistically controlling the influence of other aspects of childhood adversity and family functioning?

Sampling

A multi-stage cluster sample of secondary and high school students (aged 14-18 years) in 6 schools in HCMC will be invited to participate. This will involve in-class surveys of about 40 students per class. With an expected response rate of 95% then thirty classes will be needed to achieve the target sample size of n=1200. Six schools in Ho Chi Minh City (HCMC) including 3 secondary schools and 3 high schools will be randomly selected from a list of schools available from the Department of Education in one district of HCMC. In each school, two classes per grade including grade 8 and 9 in secondary schools and grade 10 to 12 in high schools will be selected.
Table 3. Sampling method to be used in Ho Chi Minh City

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>At each school</th>
<th>Total in each school</th>
<th>Total in 3 schools</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Select 3 schools</td>
<td>High school</td>
<td>2 classes - grade 10</td>
<td>6 classes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 classes - grade 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 classes - grade 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Select 3 schools</td>
<td>Secondary school</td>
<td>2 classes - grade 8</td>
<td>4 classes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 classes - grade 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* randomly selected from a list of school (18 schools); *b* randomly selected from a list of class in each school

Measures

**Educational stress**: Educational Stress Scale for Adolescent (ESSA) developed by Sun, Dunne, Hou and Xu (2010) is comprised of 16 questions (n=16) using 5-point scale from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree) with higher scores indicating greater stress.

**Depression**: The Centre for Epidemiological studies-Depression Scale (CES-D, Radloff, 1997) has been validated in Vietnamese language, including in studies with adolescents.

**Anxiety**: the scale consists of 13 items (n=13) using 3-point scale (never, sometimes, often). The scale has a high level of internal consistency and good face and concurrent validity.

**Psychological distress**: The Kessler Psychological Distress Scale (K-10) is comprised of 10 items (n=10) using a 5-point scale from none of the time to all of the time (Kessler et al., 2003). The internal consistency coefficient of the original scale was α=0.93. Concurrent and criterion validity has been established for this scale.

**Wellbeing**: The World Health Organization (WHO)-Five Well-being Index (WHO-5) is a short 5-item, positively worded instrument used to assess the level of emotional well-being over the last two weeks. The WHO-5 uses a 6-point scale from 0 (at no time) to 5 (all of the time), the higher score represents better overall well-being. Internal consistency of the WHO-5 is excellent (α=0.91), and criterion validity against the Structural Interview for the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders has been established.
**Health risk behaviour measure:** Health risk behaviour items were adapted from the Questionnaire of Youth Risk Behaviour Survey (YRBS) developed by the Centre for Disease Control and Prevention in the USA. This scale was validated and has a high level of reliability. The YRBS has been used in various studies among adolescents in Asian countries such as China and Vietnam.

**Parental bonding:** The Parental Bonding Instrument was developed by Parker, Tupling and Brown (1979) to measure the perception of parenting to the age of 16 years. The short-form instrument will be used to assess attitudes and behaviours of participant’s parents. The PBI has been shown to have high level of reliability and validity.

**School connectedness scale:** this scale includes nine items using 5-point scale from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree); the higher score, the more school connectedness. This scale was adapted from the National Longitudinal Study on Adolescent Health by Resnick and colleagues (1997).

**Adverse Childhood Experience:** 30 standardized items from the Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study by the World Health Organization and the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention screen for childhood protection, neglect, household dysfunction, physical abuse and exposure to community violence. The psychometric properties of the ACE scale have been well documented.

**Data collection procedure**

**Step 1: Preparation**
- Authority obtained from the Scientific and Training Committee of University of Medicine Pharmacy, Ho Chi Minh city, Vietnam
- Ethics approval from QUT and HCMC University of Medicine and Pharmacy
- Pilot study with 50 students
- Prepare lists of classes in 6 schools for the main survey
- Prepare the timetables, classroom settings, and monitors for each class

**Step 2: Participant selection**
1. Students in selected classes in 6 schools
2. All students are invited to participate with informed consent

**Step 3: Questionnaire distribution**
- All selected students are invited to answer questionnaire in the classroom setting
- The average time for answering the questionnaire is between 30-45 minutes
• Completed questionnaires are to be placed and sealed in un-marked envelop then put in a box by students

With prior agreement of the School, class teachers and assistant teachers or any school personnel are not to be in the room while the survey is underway and they will have no contact with the questionnaires

**Ethical issues**

The research will be conducted under approval of Human Research Ethics Committees of Queensland University of Technology (QUT) and Ho Chi Minh City University of Medicine and Pharmacy. Approval will also be granted by principals of secondary schools and high schools in Ho Chi Minh City Viet Nam. Informed, signed consent will be obtained from students. Parents will be advised of the survey by the School administration and given the opportunity to withdraw their students should they wish to do so.

The purpose of the study will be explained to pupils that participation is voluntary. They will be advised that they are free to withdraw at any time during the study without any comment or penalty. Participants will be informed that their responses will remain completely anonymous and that there is no way will any information or data be collected that will make them identifiable. The names of participants are not required in any of the responses. In order to protect confidentiality, questionnaires will be administered by researchers and research assistants. Teachers as well as assistant teachers or staff will be asked to be absent during data collection. Any research published as a result of the study will not report any information that would make a participant identifiable. Participants will be informed that free and confidential professional counselling will be offered to those who wish to seek these professional services to discuss any feelings or personal issues that might arise from participation in this survey.

**3. Conclusion**

We hope that these studies may contribute to better understanding and awareness of educational stress and mental health among students in secondary schools and high schools in China and Vietnam. This may help to fill gaps in the literature regarding the associations between family and school pressures, risk behaviours and mental health among Asian young people. This information should be used to stimulate further efforts to develop and deliver effective mental health promotion programs for schools and families. This work should include increased attention to provision of professional counselling support for students who are troubled by the serious effects of educational stress.
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